CONCERNS RAISED IN SUBMISSIONSRESPONSEOvershadowing toNewlandsPark and Adjoining properties at Duntroon Avenue and River RoadThe proposed development has been carefull designed to ensure overshadowing to ensure th proposal is consistent with that envisaged withit the St Leonards South Landscape Masterplar The proposal will not overshadow properties at Duntroon Avenue and River Road.Newlands Park and Open Space - High usage for off-leash dog amenity.Pocket parks are to be delivered within th precinct and the potential to expand Newlands Park as part of the monies collected under th relevant contributions plan and relevant VP adopted.View Sharing - Loss of viewsIn developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments.Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)The proposed development has been amended to comply with the maximum building height of the site and wider St Leonards South precine to rest and wider St Leonards South precine to rest and wider St Leonards South precine to rest and wider St Leonards South precine
Adjoining properties at Duntroon Avenue and River Roaddesigned to ensure overshadowing to ensure the proposal is consistent with that envisaged withit the St Leonards South Landscape Masterplan The proposal will not overshadow properties a Duntroon Avenue and River Road.Newlands Park and Open Space - High usage for off-leash dog amenity.Pocket parks are to be delivered within the precinct and the potential to expand Newland Park as part of the monies collected under the relevant contributions plan and relevant VP. adopted.View Sharing - Loss of viewsIn developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments.Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinc
River Road - Overshadowing will be excessiveproposal is consistent with that envisaged within the St Leonards South Landscape Masterplar The proposal will not overshadow properties a Duntroon Avenue and River Road.Newlands Park and Open Space - High usage for off-leash dog amenity. - Will reduce winter usability - Insufficient open space.Pocket parks are to be delivered within th precinct and the potential to expand Newland Park as part of the monies collected under th relevant contributions plan and relevant VP. adopted.View Sharing - Loss of viewsIn developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precind for high rise residential developments.Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)Der oposal fully complies with the maximum building height or adwide St Leonards South precind for the site and wider St Leonards South precind for the site and wider St Leonards South precind for the site and wider St Leonards South precind
 Overshadowing will be excessive Wewlands Park and Open Space High usage for off-leash dog amenity. Will reduce winter usability Insufficient open space. View Sharing Loss of views Poss of views Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) the St Leonards South Landscape Masterplar The proposal will not overshadow properties a Duntroon Avenue and River Road. Pocket parks are to be delivered within the precinct and the potential to expand Newland Park as part of the monies collected under the relevant contributions plan and relevant VP. adopted. New Sharing Loss of views In developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impacts align with that expecter for the site. Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
Newlands Park and Open Space - High usage for off-leash dog amenity. - Will reduce winter usability - Insufficient open space.Pocket parks are to be delivered within th precinct and the potential to expand Newland Park as part of the monies collected under th relevant contributions plan and relevant VP adopted.View Sharing - Loss of viewsIn developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments.Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)The proposal fully complies with the maximum building height or comply with the maximum building height or comply with the maximum building height or comply with the maximum building height or the site and wider St Leonards South precince
Newlands Park and Open Space - High usage for off-leash dog amenity. - Will reduce winter usability - Insufficient open space.Pocket parks are to be delivered within th precinct and the potential to expand Newland Park as part of the monies collected under th relevant contributions plan and relevant VP adopted.View Sharing - Loss of viewsIn developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments.Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)The proposed development has been amender to comply with the maximum building height or adopted.
 High usage for off-leash dog amenity. Will reduce winter usability Insufficient open space. View Sharing Loss of views Loss of views In developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights wer prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expecter for the site. Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
 Will reduce winter usability Insufficient open space. View Sharing Loss of views In developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precision for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescriber maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expecter for the site. Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) The proposal development has been amender to comply with the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precision
 Insufficient open space. Insufficient open space. View Sharing Loss of views In developing the St Leonards South Master Plan, nominated maximum building heights werprescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescriber maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expected for the site. Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) The site and wider St Leonards South precince
view Sharing In developing the St Leonards South Master - Loss of views Plan, nominated maximum building heights were - Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impacted was expected with redevelopment of the precision for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribed maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expected for the site. Height The proposed development has been amended - In excess of DCP controls. The proposed development has been amended - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
View Sharing In developing the St Leonards South Master - Loss of views Plan, nominated maximum building heights were - Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expected for the site. Height The proposed development has been amended - In excess of DCP controls. The proposed development has been amended - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
 Loss of views Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore the associated view impacts align with that expectes for the site. Height In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) Plan, nominated maximum building heights were prescribed and therefore, a level of view impacts was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments.
Prescribed and therefore, a level of view impact was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expecte for the site. Height - In excess of DCP controls. - Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height of 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinc
was expected with redevelopment of the precine for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expecte for the site. Height The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height or the site and wider St Leonards South precince
for high rise residential developments. for high rise residential developments. The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expecte for the site. Height The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height or clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
Height The proposal fully complies with the prescribe maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expecte for the site. Height The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height of 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expected for the site. Height The proposed development has been amended to comply with the maximum building height or clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
maximum 44m height limit and therefore th associated view impacts align with that expected for the site. Height The proposed development has been amended to comply with the maximum building height or clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment)
Height The proposed development has been amender to comply with the maximum building height or clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) The proposed development has been amender to comply with the maximum building height or the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
for the site. Height The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height of 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
Height - In excess of DCP controls.The proposed development has been amende to comply with the maximum building height of 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
 In excess of DCP controls. Clarification required between basement protrusion (Edge treatment) to comply with the maximum building height of 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
- Clarification required between basement 44m and the maximum storey limit as envisage for the site and wider St Leonards South precinct
protrusion (Edge treatment) for the site and wider St Leonards South precinc
Building Length The proposed building length has bee
- Articulation not significant enough appropriately articulated
FSR The proposal achieves a FSR of 3.32:1 which i
- The calculation ought to be correct well below the anticipated density envisaged for
the site of 3.7:1.
Property Value There is no evidence that there will be a loss i
- Loss in value property prices.
Green Spine The proposal provides a significant amount of
- Gating the green spine is not appropriate. communal open space to future resident
- Insufficient open green space or communal including:
open space.
•Level 12 rooftop communal open space wit
uninterrupted city views
 Indoor residential amenity spaces includin
cinema, gym, swimming pool and music room
•Childcare outdoor play area to be used after
hours/weekend
•Direct connection to open spaces including th east west pedestrian link, green spine an
Newlands Park
Traffic A detailed traffic and car parking impact
- Does not address traffic volume impact assessment report is submitted with the DA. Th
- Amount of traffic movement is unacceptable.
- Traffic study assumptions flawed.
Parking The proposed car parking complies with th
- The proposal provides too many parking recommended minimum car parking rate
spaces. prescribed by the Lane Cove Development
- Reduced street parking Control Plan 2009. All parking generated by the
development will be accommodated on site.
The four existing crossovers along Canberr
Avenue will be consolidated into a single access
The redundant driveways will be removed wit

	kerb invert be reinstated to match the adjacent
	footpath and kerbing.
Closure of Canberra Avenue	The closure of Canberra Avenue is not relevant to this Development Application.
Pedestrian Safety Along Duntroon Avenue	The proposal has been assessed to be satisfactory by Council's Traffic Engineer in relation to pedestrian safety
Provision of EV Charging Points	EV charging will be provided to one car space of each apartment allocated with car parking as per the requirements of Lane Cove Development Control Plan.
Construction Impacts including Noise, Traffic and Pollution	A construction traffic management plan and construction noise and vibration plan have been submitted with the application. Accordingly, appropriate mitigation measures will be implemented during construction to minimise adverse impacts to neighbouring properties.
	Further, the developer will establish a community reference group with neighbourhood representatives (consistent with all other Lane Cove projects they have been involved with) prior to construction commencing.
	The developer will continue to meet with this group at agreed intervals to address any construction concerns and grievances. Standard conditions of consent will also assist with managing construction impacts.
 Setbacks and Building Separation Development ought to comply with DCP setback requirements Non-compliance with building separation provisions of the ADG to the northern boundary 	The proposed building design includes setbacks which were established following an extensive urban design process with Council and the Design Review and Excellence Panel.
	The variation to the east-west pedestrian link setback controls is considered a suitable response to the site's constraints and the number of different uses proposed to provide for effective activation along the southern boundary.
	Overall, the proposal achieves the objectives of the building separation requirements under the ADG and the objectives of the St Leonards South planning precinct controls including the envisaged housing target density.
	The proposed design achieves urban design excellence through its unique design and careful response to the above process.
Loss of Privacy and Amenity	The proposal has been designed to comply with the objectives of the Apartment Design Guide with regards to privacy and amenity
Solar Access and Ventilation - The solar access ought to comply with the minimum 70% and 60% ADG solar access and cross ventilation requirements	The proposal complies with the minimum required solar access and cross ventilation to apartments as required under SEPP 65 and the Apartment Design Guide.
Tree Removal and Landscaping - Tree retention ought to be ensured	A detailed arborist report has assessed the potential to retain trees and recommends that they are all removed. Suitable replacement planting is provided as part of the proposal as

	atisfaction to both Council's Landscape
	rchitect and Tree Officer.
	nere will be no loss of endangered or reatened species with the proposed removal of
	eas.
	he DCP objectives are achieved where the
	oposal predominantly meets or are
	opropriately clarified/justified for site specific
	asons and how an equal or a better planning utcome has been achieved in this instance. The
	oposal meets the relevant DCP controls as
	arified in the main report or the DCP
	ompliance table. The pedestrian link and the lift
	as been appropriately designed for in
	coordance with the DCP and would remain
	ublicly accessible. The St Leonards South anning precinct planning documentation had
	nvisaged that Area 5 would contain a Council
	in child-care centre and a community facility as
	oposed which are both open to the public.
community facility.	a managed by definition and the large of the large
•	ne proposed building and landscape design ave been developed by SJB architects and Site
	hage Landscape Architects following an urban
	esign process with Council and the Design
Re	eview and Excellence Panel.
	ais design evolution resulted in the Danal being
	nis design evolution resulted in the Panel being enerally satisfied with the overall design intent,
	uilding height and form.
	ne proposed development achieves urban
	esign excellence through its unique design and etailed response to the sites constraints and
	oportunities whilst achieving the overall
	pjectives of St Leonards South precinct
	anning controls
	ne proposal will provide urban renewal to the
	kisting streetscape consistent with the high-rise uilt form envisaged for the St Leonards South
	ecinct.
	ne proposed building form, materiality, design
	nd connection to the east west pedestrian link ave appropriately responded to the Canberra
	venue and Newlands Park streetscape
ch	naracter.
	ne provision of a community facility and café will
	ovide additional services to the local ommunity. The future retail shop/café would
	ave suitable management practices in place to
	itigate potential adverse impacts including
litt	tering within the locality.
	detailed noise impact assessment has been
Centre su	ubmitted with the application and has assessed at subject to appropriate operational
	anagement and installation of mitigation
	easures such as acoustic fencing etc, the

	resultant noise impacts from the childcare centre will be acceptable
Wind impacts	The recommendations of the wind impacts report have been implemented in the design and are considered acceptable in mitigating associated wind impacts.